ANTELOPE VALLEY – EAST KERN WATER AGENCY

2014 ANNUAL WATER QUALITY REPORT LOS ANGELES COUNTY SYSTEM

OFFICERS

DAN FLORY
General Manager

HOLLY H. HUGHES
Secretary-Treasurer



March 4, 2015

Dear General Manager:

This is the 2014 Annual Water Quality Report from the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency (AVEK). Since the water you obtain from AVEK represents one of your sources of water, we have included a summary of results for all analyses completed in 2014 for your convenience. If you find that you need copies of individual monitoring reports please feel free to contact me and I will be happy to provide those for you.

In accordance with the Consumer Confidence Report (CCR) guidance manuals issued by the State Water Resources Control Board and the United States Environmental Protection Agency, we are herein providing you with the monitoring data and other information you will need to produce your CCR.

AVEK provides some treated water to our customers in Acton by way of an intertie with Palmdale Water District (PWD). AVEK monitors the treated water quality provided by PWD at our Acton Water Treatment Plant before it reaches our first customer. The results of this monitoring have been included in this report. If you have specific questions regarding the quality of the raw water treated by Palmdale Water District, please contact them directly.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please call me at 661-943-3201. However, please do not designate AVEK or this office as your contact in your CCR. According to the State Board and EPA guidelines, the designated contact person should be someone from your system. While we are always happy to clarify questions about AVEK water, we do not have the specific information necessary to answer questions about your water, blending practices or distribution systems.

Respectfully,

Justin Livesay
Laboratory Director

KEITH DYAS Division 2 President

GEORGE M. LANE Division 4 Vice President

SHELLEY SORSABAL Division 1

FRANK S. DONATO Division 3

ROBERT A. PARRIS Division 5

MARLON BARNES Division 6

NEAL A. WEISENBERGER Division 7

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency

2014 Annual Water Quality Report

We are very pleased to provide you with this year's Annual Water Quality Report. We want to keep you informed about the excellent water we have delivered to you over the past year. Our goal is, and always has been, to provide to you a safe supply of drinking water.

Our main water source is the State Water Project, California Aqueduct. The State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) has assessed the vulnerability of the State Water Project as to possible contaminating activities. The assessment's description and discussion of vulnerability is as follows:

"The California Aqueduct originates at the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta at Clifton Court Forebay. Water in the Delta originates in the Sacramento River watershed, the San Joaquin watershed, and the watershed drainage from the Mokelumne River, Stanislaus River, Merced River and several smaller rivers that drain the eastern slopes of the Sierra Nevadas. Located in these drainage areas are a broad variety of potential sources of contamination including municipal, industrial and agricultural activities. Also influencing the quality of water pumped from the Delta is the impact of the estuarial nature of the Delta and the naturally occurring salt-water intrusion which is dependent to a large extent on the inflow from the contributing rivers.

The possible contaminating activities present within the California Aqueduct watershed are described in the State Water Project Watershed Sanitary Survey conducted by the California Department of Water Resources and their consultants in 1986 and updated in 2011."

Our alternative water source is State Water Project water which has been stored in the aquifer at various underground storage facilities (i.e. "water banks") and is extracted as local groundwater for water quality purposes or supply purposes during times of drought. The vulnerability of the facilities was assessed in 2014 as follows:

"The wells are most vulnerable to contaminants from activities such as herbicide use along transportation corridors or road right-of-ways; agricultural/irrigation wells; irrigated crops; application of fertilizer, pesticides, and herbicides; agricultural drainage; and the raw State Water Project surface water used to recharge the groundwater basins. Other potential contaminating activities include the potential presence of certain unknown activities such as unregistered underground storage tanks."

A copy of these assessments may be viewed at, Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency, 6500 West Avenue N, Palmdale, CA 93551.

If you have any questions about this report or the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency, please contact Justin Livesay, Laboratory Director at 661-943-3201. We want our valued customers to be informed about our Water Agency. If you want to learn more, please attend any of our regularly scheduled Board meetings. They are held on the second and fourth Tuesday of every month, 6:30 PM, at the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency Office, 6500 West Avenue N, Palmdale, CA, 93551.

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency routinely monitors for contaminants in our drinking water according to Federal and State laws. The table in this report, "2014 Annual Water Quality Report", shows the results of our monitoring for the period of January 1st to December 31st, 2014.

All drinking water, including bottled drinking water, may be reasonably expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. It is important to remember that the presence of these contaminants does not necessarily pose a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the Environmental Protection Agency's Safe Drinking Water Hotline at 1-800-426-4791.

We have learned through our monitoring and testing that some contaminants have been detected, however, we are proud to report that our drinking water meets or exceeds all State and Federal requirements.

Total Coliform: Water systems are required to meet a strict standard for coliform bacteria. Coliform bacteria are usually harmless, but their presence in water can be an indication of disease-causing bacteria. When coliform bacteria are found, special follow-up tests are done to determine if harmful bacteria are present in the water supply. If the standard is exceeded, the water supplier must notify the public by newspaper, television or radio.

Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. EPA/CDC guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by *Cryptosporidium* and other microbiological contaminants are available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline (800-426-4791).

The Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency provides treated surface water as a source of drinking water.

Treatment technique: Conventional

EPA Turbidity Performance Standards: Turbidity of the filtered water must:

1. Be less than or equal to 0.30 NTU in 95% of measurements in a month.

2. Not exceed 1 NTU at any time.

Lowest monthly percentage of samples that met Turbidity Performance Standard No. 1: 100%

Highest single turbidity measurement during the year: 0.30 NTU

Percentage of samples < 0.30 NTU: 100%

The number of violations of any surface water treatment requirements: NONE

Turbidity (measured in NTU) is a measurement of the cloudiness of water and is a good indicator of water quality and filtration performance. Turbidity results which meet performance standards are considered to be in compliance with filtration requirements.

The Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency also provides groundwater as a source of drinking water.

Treatment technique: Chlorination

EPA Groundwater Rule: AVEK meets the requirements of the Groundwater Rule by providing a minimum of 4-log reduction of viruses by continously providing a minimum free chlorine residual of 0.5 mg/L leaving the clearwell.

Lowest single free chlorine residual measurement during the year:

NONE

0.58

Number of violations of the Groundwater Rule:

MICROBIOLOGICAL CONTAMINANTS

Type of Sample(s)	Parameter	Sampling Frequency	MCI	No. of Months in	System	Results
Type of Sample(s)	<u>r arameter</u>	Sampling Frequency	<u>MCL</u>	<u>Violation</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>
Distribution	Total Coliform Bacteria	107 - 160 / mo	5% positive	None	0-1.2%	0%
Distribution	Fecal Coliform/E. coli	107 - 160 / mo	1 pos. with 2 TC pos.	None	0%	0%

INORGANIC CONTAMINANTS

										RESU	<u>LTS</u>					
					Actor	n Plant	Eastsid	de Plant	Quartz	Hill Plant	Raw I	nfluent		Wate	er Bank	
				PHG or	Effluen	t (CWR)	Effluen	t (CWR)	Effluen	t (CWR)	(State Wa	ter Project)	Effluen	t (CWR)	Well	is
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>MCL</u>	<u>DLR</u>	(MCLG)	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>										
Aluminum	mg/L	1	0.05	0.6		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND			ND-0.015	ND
Antimony	μg/L	6	6	20		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Arsenic	μg/L	10	2	0.004		ND		ND		ND		6.2	3.3-6.5	5.1	2.9-12	5.2
Barium	mg/L	1	0.1	2		ND		ND		ND		ND			0.028-0.084	0.059
Beryllium	μg/L	4	1	1		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Cadmium	μg/L	5	1	0.04		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Chromium (Total)	μg/L	50	10			ND		ND		ND		ND			2-3.3	2.9
Chromium (Hexavalent)	μg/L	10	1	0.02		ND		1.2		ND		1.2			2-3.4	2.9
Cyanide	μg/L	150	100	150		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Fluoride	mg/L	2	0.1	1		0.18		0.13		0.13		0.16			ND-0.24	0.14
Mercury	μg/L	2	1	1.2		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Nickel	μg/L	100	10	12		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND-2.3	0.9
Nitrate (as NO3)	mg/L	45	2	45		ND		3.3		2.6	2.7-4.6	3.6			2.6-18	13
Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	1	0.4	1		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Nitrate+Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	10		10		ND		1.0		1.0		1.1			2.4-4.0	3.1
Perchlorate	μg/L	6	4	6		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Selenium	μg/L	50	5	30		ND		ND		ND		ND			1-3.4	2.1
Thallium	μg/L	2	1	0.1		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Asbestos	MFL	7	0.2	7	-										ND	ND

GENERAL PHYSICAL AND SECONDARY STANDARDS

							RESU	<u> </u>						
				Acton	Plant	Eastsid	de Plant	Quartz	Hill Plant	Raw I	nfluent	Water	: Bank	ĺ
				Effluent	t (CWR)	Effluent	t (CWR)	Effluen	t (CWR)	(State Wa	ter Project)	We	əlls	
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>MCL</u>	<u>DLR</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	İ								
Aluminum	μg/L	200	50		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND-15	4.4	
Calcium	mg/L	no standard			34.7		32.5		29.8		30.4	42.5-105	67.0	İ
Chloride	mg/L	250			130		100		97		99	17-110	53	
Color	Units	15		<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5			<5	<5	İ

Copper	μg/L	1000	50	1	ND		ND	1	ND		ND	ND-4.9	1.1	١
Foaming Agents (MBAS)	mg/L	0.5			ND		ND		ND		ND	ND-0.09	0.02	
Hardness (Total) as CaCO3	mg/L	no standard			133		108		107		106	120-316	197	
Iron	μg/L	300	100		ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND	İ
Magnesium	mg/L	no standard			11.1		6.56		7.79		7.25	3.6-13	7.3	İ
Manganese	μg/L	50	20		ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND	
Odor @ 60 C	Units	3	1	<1-1	<1	<1-1.3	<1	<1-1	<1			<1	<1	
pН	Units	no standard		6.5-7.8	7.05	6.1-7.3	6.71	6.7-7.4	7.02	7.1-9.4	8.71	7.3-8.0	7.62	
Silver	μg/L	100	10		ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND	
Sodium	mg/L	no standard			88		85		87		85	34-58	41	
Specific Conductance	μmhos	900			710		628	442-691	569	425-675	540	412-808	540	
Sulfate	mg/L	250	0.5		77		120		110		94	29-74	46	Ì
Thiobencarb (Bolero)	μg/L	1	1		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND	
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	μg/L	5	3		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND	
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	500			380		370		360		360	250-570	365	
Turbidity	Units	5		0.02-0.23	0.10	0.01-0.28	0.04	0.01-0.30	0.06	0.15-24.1	1.48	0.01-0.16	0.02	
Zinc	mg/L	5.0	0.050		0.360		0.230		0.450		ND	ND-0.006	ND	
Total Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	mg/L	no standard			94		53		56	71-96	81	150-220	173	Ì
Bicarbonate Alkalinity(HCO3)	mg/L	no standard			120		64		69			180-270	211	
Carbonate Alkalinity	mg/L	no standard			ND		ND		ND			ND	ND	ĺ
Hydroxide Alkalinity	mg/L	no standard			ND		ND	1	ND			ND	ND	

BADIOI	OCICAL	CONTAI	MINANTS
KADIOL	JOGICAL	CONTAI	MINAINI

					TO ASIGNE CONTINUING INTO				
						RESU	<u>LTS</u>		
Parameter	<u>Units</u>	<u>MCL</u>	<u>DLR</u>	<u>PHG</u>		aw Influent	Water E	3ank	
<u>r arameter</u>	<u>01110</u>	IVIOL	DLIX	<u>1 110</u>	(State	Water Project)	Well	ls	
Gross Alpha	pCi/L	15	3		3.0	08 ± 0.291	3.3-14	5.9	
Gross Beta	pCi/L	50			2	2.8 ± 0.73	2.1-6.2	3.4	
Strontium 90	pCi/L	8	2	0.35	<2	2.0 ± 0.163	ND-1.0	0.4	
Tritium	pCi/L	20,000	1,000	400	<1	1000 ± 134	ND-312	93	
Uranium	pCi/L	20	1	0.43			4.0-9.1	5.8	
Radium 228	pCi/L		1	0.019			ND-1.0	0.2	
Radium 226	pCi/L		1	0.05			ND-0.9	0.1	

VOLATILE ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS

						RESU	<u>LTS</u>	
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>MCL</u>	<u>DLR</u>	PHG	St	tate Water Project	Water Ba	ank Wells
<u>r arameter</u>	Office	IVICL	DLN	FIIG		<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>
1,1,1-Trichlorethane (1,1,1-TCA)	μg/L	200	0.5	1000		ND	ND	ND
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	μg/L	1	0.5	0.1		ND	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane (1,1,2-TCA)	μg/L	5	0.5	0.3		ND	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	μg/L	5	0.5	3		ND	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethylene (1,1-DCE)	μg/L	6	0.5	10		ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	μg/L	5	0.5	5		ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene (o-DCB)	μg/L	600	0.5	600		ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.4		ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloropropane	μg/L	5	0.5	0.5		ND	ND	ND
1,3-Dichloropropene (Total)	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.2		ND	ND	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene (p-DCB)	μg/L	5	0.5	6		ND	ND	ND
Benzene	μg/L	1	0.5	0.15		ND	ND	ND
Carbon tetrachloride	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.1		ND	ND	ND
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene (c-1,2-DCE)	μg/L	6	0.5	100		ND	ND	ND
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	μg/L					ND	ND	ND
Dichloromethane (Methylene Chloride)	μg/L	5	0.5	4		ND	ND	ND
Ethylbenzene	μg/L	300	0.5	300		ND	ND	ND
Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	μg/L	13	3	13		ND	ND	ND
Monochlorobenzene (Chlorobenzene)	μg/L	70	0.5	70		ND	ND	ND
Styrene	μg/L	100	0.5	0.5		ND	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	μg/L	5	0.5	0.06		ND	ND	ND
Toluene	μg/L	150	0.5	150		ND	ND	ND

					1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	 				
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene (t-1,2-DCE)	μg/L	10	0.5	60			ND	ND	ND	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	μg/L						ND	ND	ND	
Trichloroethylene (TCE)	μg/L	5	0.5	1.7			ND	ND	ND	
Trichlorofluromethane (Freon11)	μg/L	150	5	1300			ND	ND	ND	
Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113)	μg/L	1200	10	4000			ND	ND	ND	
Vinyl Chloride (VC)	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.05			ND	ND	ND	
Xylenes (Total)	μg/L	1750	0.5	1800			ND	ND	ND	

SYNTHETIC ORGANIC CHEMICALS

					STATTLE TO STOCKING CHEMICALS			
							<u>ULTS</u>	
Parameter	Units	MCL	DLR (DL)	PHG			ank Wells	
			<u>DER (DE)</u>	1110		<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	
Alachlor	μg/L	2	1	4		ND	ND	
Atrazine	μg/L	1	0.5	0.15		ND	ND	
Bentazon	μg/L	18	2	200		ND	ND	
Benzo(a)pyrene	μg/L	0.2	0.1	0.007		ND	ND	
Carbofuran	μg/L	18	5	1.7		ND	ND	
Chlordane	μg/L	0.1	0.1	0.03		ND	ND	
2,4-D	μg/L	70	10	20		ND	ND	
Dalapon	μg/L	200	10	790		ND	ND	
Dibromochloropropane (DBCP)	μg/L	0.2	0.01	0.0017		ND	ND	
Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate	μg/L	400	5	200		ND	ND	
Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	μg/L	4	3	12		ND	ND	
Dinoseb	μg/L	7	2	14		ND	ND	
Diquat	μg/L	20	4	15		ND	ND	
Endothall	μg/L	100	45	94		ND	ND	
Endrin	μg/L	2	0.1	1.8		ND	ND	
Ethylene Dibromide (EDB)	μg/L	0.05	0.02	0.01		ND	ND	
Glyphosate	μg/L	700	25	900		ND	ND	
Heptachlor	μg/L	0.01	0.01	0.008		ND	ND	
Heptachlor Epoxide	μg/L	0.01	0.01	0.006		ND	ND	
Hexachlorobenzene	μg/L	1	0.5	0.03		ND	ND	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	μg/L	50	1	2		ND	ND	
Lindane	μg/L	0.2	0.2	0.032		ND	ND	
Methoxychlor	μg/L	30	10	0.09		ND	ND	
Molinate	μg/L	20	2	1		ND	ND	
Oxamyl	μg/L	50	20	26		ND	ND	
Pentachlorophenol	μg/L	1	0.2	0.3		ND	ND	
Picloram	μg/L	500	1	500		ND	ND	
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.09		ND	ND	
Simazine	μg/L	4	1	4		ND	ND	
Thiobencarb (Bolero)	μg/L	70	1	70		ND	ND	
Toxaphene	μg/L	3	1	0.03		ND	ND	
2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)	pg/L	30	5	0.05		ND	ND	
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	μg/L	50	1	3		ND	ND	

DISINFECTION RESIDUAL, PRECURSORS, and BYPRODUCTS

Type of Sample(s)	Parameter	<u>Units</u>	MCL/MRDL	DLR	MRDLG	<u>RESU</u>	<u>JLTS</u>
Type of Sample(s)	<u>r arameter</u>	Office	WOLIWINDL	DLIX	MINDLG	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>
Distribution	Chlorine (as total Cl2)	mg/L	4.0		4	0.00-2.20	1.02
Treated Water	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/L	Treatment Requirement	0.3		0.5 - 2.7	1.2
State Water Projec	t Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/L	Treatment Requirement	0.3		1.0 - 4.3	2.0
Distribution	Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Total Trihalomethanes	μg/L	80**	0.5		3.1 - 78	58 #
Distribution	Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Total Haloacetic Acids	μg/L	60**	1		ND - 17	11 #
Treated Water	Bromate	μg/L	10 ⁺	5		ND - 37	5.4

^{**} Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Total THMs and Total HAAs compliance is based upon Locational Running Annual Averages.

[#] Location with the highest TTHM average

⁺ Compliance is based on the running annual average computed quarterly, of monthly samples, collected at the entrance to the distribution system.

DEFINITIONS and FOOTNOTES:

Plant Effluent, CWR, is finished, treated drinking water.

Raw Water is the Source Water, the California Aqueduct, prior to treatment.

Units: mg/L = milligrams per liter, parts per million (ppm)

 μ g/L = micrograms per liter, parts per billion (ppb)

pg/L = picograms per liter, parts per quadrillion (ppq)

µmhos = micromhos, a measure of specific conductance

MFL = million fibers per liter

pCi/L = pico Curies per liter

< = less than

> = greater than

ND = none detected above the DLR

NTU = nephelometric turbidity unit is a measure of the clarity of water. Turbidity in excess of 5 NTU is just noticeable to the average person.

MCL: Maximum Contaminant Level. The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. MCLs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency or the State Water Resources Control Board as close to the PHGs and MCLGs as is economically or technologically feasible.

MRDL: Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level. The level of a disinfectant added for water treatment that may not be exceeded at the consumer's tap.

DLR: Detection Limit for purposes of Reporting.

(DL): Detection limit determined by the Laboratory when no DLR has been established.

MCLG: The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

MRDLG: Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level Goal. The level of a disinfectant added for water treatment below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MRDLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

PHG: Public Health Goal: The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. PHGs are set by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment.

Primary Drinking Water Standard: Primary MCLs, specific treatment techniques adopted in lieu of primary MCLs, and monitoring and reporting requirements for MCLs that are specified in regulations.

Secondary Standards: Aesthetic standards established by the State Water Resources Control Board.

AL: Action Level. There is no MCL, if this level is exceeded, action is required by the State Water Resources Control Board.

All analyses performed by ELAP certified laboratories: AVEK Water Agency, Weck Laboratories, or Weck subcontract lab.

STAGE 2 DISINFECTION BYPRODUCT RULE TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANE (TTHM) QUARTERLY SUMMARY REPORT

Water	System	Name:
-------	--------	-------

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency

System No.

1910045

				TTHM	(ppb)			C CAM
		Monito	ring Periods			(*)		
	MP1	MP2	МРЗ	MP4 (Current Qtr)	LRAA (TTHM)	Meets Standard? (Y/N)	OEL (TTHM)	Exceed OEL (Y/N)
Sample Date (month/date/year):	02/20/14	05/15/14	08/21/14	11/20/14	1	(1/14)		(1/14)
Vincent Tank	43	36	78	73	57.50	Υ	65.00	N
LVAV	29	10	75	36	37.50	Υ	39.25	N
110th/R	3.1	8.4	42	30	20.88	Υ	27.60	N
165th	17	15	70	74	44.00	Υ	58.25	N
5th/M	18	8.6	56	27	27.40	Υ	29.65	N
								
								
				<u> </u>				ļ
								-
				1 4				

Comments:	 	 	

Note: If your OEL is higher than the TTHM MCL at any location in the distribution system, you must conduct an operational evaluation by examining the system treatment and distribution operational practices, including: storage tank operations; excess storage capacity; distribution system flushing; changes in sources or source water quality; treatment changes; and any problems that may contribute to TTHM formation. From this evaluation you must identify what steps could be taken to minimize future OEL exceedances: Please submit your operational evaluation report to the State for review within 90 days.

Name & Title of Person Submitting Report

Justin Livesay - Laboratory Director

Date

1/5/2015

STAGE 2 DISINFECTION BYPRODUCT RULE HALOACETIC ACIDS (HAA5) QUARTERLY SUMMARY REPORT

Water System Nam	e	n	ar	Vа	N	m	te	/S	7	S	r	te	a	W	•
------------------	---	---	----	----	---	---	----	----	---	---	---	----	---	---	---

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency

System No.

1910045

				HAA5 (ppb)		THE TE	
		Monito	ring Periods					
	MP1	MP2	МРЗ	MP4 (Current Qtr)	LRAA (HAA5)	Meets Standard? (Y/N)	OEL (HAA5)	OEL (Y/N)
Sample Date (month/date/year):	02/20/14	05/15/14	08/21/14	11/20/14	1	(1/14)		(1714)
Vincent Tank	6.2	4.9	8.3	13	8.10	Υ	9.80	N
LVAV	9.6	3.5	17	14	11.03	Υ	12.13	N
110th/R	ND	2.8	9.4	6.5	4.68	Υ	6.30	N
165th	3.2	4.2	15	16	9.60	Y	12.80	N
5th/M	4.7	2.8	11	7.2	6.43	Υ	7.05	N
	-							
								
	-							
								
	-				<u> </u>			
								
	1							
	 							
								
		-						
	_							└
					<u> </u>		<u></u>	1 5

Comments:			 	

Note: If your OEL is higher than the HAA5 MCL at any location in the distribution system, you must conduct an operational evaluation by examining the system treatment and distribution operational practices, including: storage tank operations; excess storage capacity; distribution system flushing; changes in sources or source water quality; treatment changes; and any problems that may contribute to HAA5 formation. From this evaluation you must identify what steps could be taken to minimize future OEL exceedances: Please submit your operational evaluation report to the State for review within 90 days.

Name & Title of Person Submitting Report

Justin Livesay - Laboratory Director

Date

1/5/2015

Quarterly Bromate Report for Disinfection Byproducts Compliance (in µg/L or ppb)

System Name: Antelope Va	alley-Ea	st Kem	vvaler A	gency		Syste	em No.:		910045		Year	201	7	Quarte	•	4th				
		20	13	5 541		1s	t Qtr.			2n	d Qtr.			3rd	d Qtr.			4th	n Qtr.	
Sample Date (month/date):	1st Q	2nd Q	3rd Q	4th Q	1/8	2/12	3/12	Quarterly Average	4/9	5/14	6/11	Quarterly Average	7/9	8/13	9/10	Quarterly Average	10/8	11/12	12/10	Quarterl Average
Site 1	4.3	4.5	7.4	2.6	ND	ND_	ND	ND	OFF	3.3	3.8	2.4	11	15	ND	8.7	7.7	ND	OFF	2.6
Site 2	3.9	7.0	10.3	6.3	4.1	ND	OFF	1.4	OFF	8.2	4.2	4.1	6.5	37	13	18.8	16	ND	OFF	5.3
Site 3	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF		OFF	OFF	OFF		OFF	OFF	OFF		OFF	OFF	-	
System Quarterly Average	4.1	5.8	8.9	4.5				0.7				3.3				13.8	04 45	E,A, M		4.0
Running Annual Average		E-19.		5.8		<u> </u>		4.9				4.3				5.5			Maria	5.4
Meets Standard?*								Yes 🗸				Yes 🗸				Yes 🗸				Yes 🗸
(check box)								l No □ □				No 🗆				No 🗌				No 🔲

Identify the sample locations in the table below.

Site	Sample Location
1	Quartz Hill Clear Well Reservoir
2	Eastside Clear Well Reservoir
3	Acton Clear Well Reservoir

Comments: Samples collected at the entry point to the distribution system for each treatment plant using ozone. "OFF" denotes treatment plant shutdown or ozone system shutdown.

Signature

Date

^{*}If, during the first year of monitoring, any individual quarter's average will cause the running annual average of that system to exceed the standard, then the system is out of compliance at the end of that quarter.

Quarterly Report for Disinfectant Residuals Compliance For Systems Using Chlorine or Chloramines

System Name:	Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency	System No.:		1910045
Calendar Year:	2014	Quarter:	4th	

		1st Quarter	
	Month	Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)
Г	April		0.94
ı	Мау		0.92
I.,	June		0.94
Previous Year	July		0.87
ROUS	August		0.88
Prev	September		0.93
П	October		1.03
ı	November		0.93
L	December		0.89
je g	January	122	1.01
Current Year	February	108	0.99
Š	March	107	0.88
R	unning Annual A	verage (RAA):	0.93
	eets standard? e. RAA < MRDL o	f 4.0 mg/L as Cl2)	YES

		2nd Quarter	
	Month	Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)
	July	TO BE THE REAL PROPERTY.	0.87
ĕ	August		0.88
Previous Year	September		0.93
9VIO	October		1.03
ď	November		0.93
L	December		0.89
	January		1.01
a l	February		0.99
ڇُ	March		0.88
Current Year	April	130	1.01
ြ	May	112	0.90
	June	113	0.82
Ri	unning Annual A	verage (RAA):	0.93
	eets standard? e. RAA < MRDL o	f 4.0 mg/L as Cl2)	YES

		3rd Quarter	
	Month	Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)
×	October	RESIDERATION OF THE PERSON OF	1.03
Previous Yr	November		0.93
Pa	December		0.89
	January		1.01
ı	February		0.99
	March		0.88
/ear	April		1.01
Current Year	Мау		0.90
Ş	June		0.82
П	July	150	1.08
	August	117	1.12
L	September	1.15	
Ri	unning Annual A	0.98	
	eets standard? e. RAA < MRDL o	f 4.0 mg/L as Cl2)	YES

		4th Quarter	
	Month	Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)
Г	January		1.01
	February		0.99
	March		0.88
	April	19 15 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.01
a.	May		0.90
Current Year	June		0.82
urra	July		1.08
٥	August		1.12
	September		1.15
ı	October	118	1.24
L	November	116	1.06
L	December	1.00	
R	unning Annual A	1.02	
	eets standard? e. RAA < MRDL o	YES	

Comments:		
Signature:	Date:	,15/,5

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency **LA System No. 1910045 TOC Removal Running Annual Average**

Sample Date	Plant	Alkalinity mgCaCO3/L	Raw TOC mg/L	Treated TOC mg/L	Actual % TOC reduction	Required % TOC reduction	*TOC Removal Rationactual % /required %
1/8/2014	QHWTP	81.1	1.28	0.78	39.1	25	1.6
17072014	EWTP	79.3	1.28	0.83	35.2	25	1.4
н	AWTP	plant off	1.20	0.00	33.2	23	1.4
		p					
2/12/2014	QHWTP	86.9	1.03	0.67	35.0	25	1.4
44	EWTP	plant off					
**	AWTP	plant off					
3/12/2014	QHWTP	72.3	1.28	0.89	30.5	25	1.2
"	EWTP	plant off	1.20	0.00	50.5	23	1.2
н	AWTP	plant off					
	A** 11	planton					
4/9/2014	QHWTP	71.4	1.06	0.68	35.8	25	1.4
n	EWTP	plant off					
н	AWTP	plant off					
5/14/2014	QHWTP	78.4	0.99	0.61	38.4	25	1.5
#	EWTP	79.8	1.20	0.86	28.3	25	1.1
н	AWTP	plant off	1.20	0.00	20.5	23	1.1
		piant on					
6/11/2014	QHWTP	74.6	1.63	0.88	46.0	25	1.8
11	EWTP	76.8	1.41	1.06	24.8	25	1.0
**	AWTP	plant off					
7/9/2014	QHWTP	86.1	2.84	1.41	50.4	25	2.0
"	EWTP	89.3	2.81	1.92	31.7	25	1.3
**	AWTP	plant off	2.01	1.52	31.7	23	1.3
	74411	plant on					
8/13/2014	QHWTP	93.0	3.40	2.07	39.1	25	1.6
18	EWTP	96.3	4.34	2.65	38.9	35	1.1
#	AWTP	plant off					
9/10/2014	QHWTP	84.2	2.33	1.32	43.3	25	1.7
"	EWTP	79.3	2.78	1.65	40.6	25	1.7
#	AWTP	plant off	2.70	1.05	40.0	23	1.0
		·					
10/8/2014	QHWTP	77.6	2.49	1.20	51.8	25	2.1
	EWTP	75.6	2.87	1.73	39.7	25	1.6
18	AWTP	plant off					
11/12/2014	QHWTP	75.6	2.10	1.19	43.3	25	1.7
м	EWTP	77.1	2.16	1.36	37.0	25	1.5
H	AWTP	plant off					
12/5/2014	OHMITO	70 E	1.00	0.50	47.0	O.C.	4.0
12/3/2014	QHWTP	79.5	1.00 1.15	0.53	47.0	25	1.9
"	EWTP	78.1	1.15	0.63	45.2	25	1.8
	AWTP	plant off					
	Minimum	71.4	1.0	0.5	24.8		
	Maximum	96.3	4.3	2.7	51.8		
	RAA	80.6	2.0	1.2	39.1		

Running Annual Average (RAA)

<u>1.5</u>

Title 22 California Code of Regulations, Chapter 15.5, Article 5:

Required percent TOC reduction**

Source Water Alkalinity Table 64536 2-A

10010 0 100010 71		Oddico vvaler randimity				
Raw TOC	0-60	<60 - 120	>120			
>2.0 - 4.0	35.0 %	25.0 %	15.0 %			
>4.0 - 8.0	45.0 %	35.0 %	25.0 %			
>8.0	50.0 %	40.0 %	30.0 %			

^{**}If one or more of the section 64636.4(b) 1-6 conditions are met, the system may assign a monthly value of 1 for the TOC removal ratio in lieu of the calculated value List condition when used:_

- 1. The system's source water TOC level, prior to any treatment is less than or equal to 2.0 mg/L
 2. The system's treated water TOC level is less than or equal to 2.0 mg/L
- 3. The system's source water SUVA, prior to any treatment, is less than or equal to 2.0 L/mg-m
- 4. The system's finished water SUVA is less than or equal to 2.0 L/mg-m
- A system practicing softening removes at least 10 mg/L of magnesium hardness (as CaCO3)
 A system practicing enhanced softening lowers alkalinity below 60 mg/L (as CaCO3)