ANTELOPE VALLEY – EAST KERN WATER AGENCY

2016 ANNUAL WATER QUALITY REPORT LOS ANGELES COUNTY SYSTEM

OFFICERS

DWAYNE CHISAM, P.E. General Manager

MICHAEL FLOOD, P.E. Assistant General Manager

> HOLLY H. HUGHES Secretary-Treasure



March 9, 2017

Dear General Manager:

This is the 2016 Annual Water Quality Report from the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency (AVEK). Since the water you obtain from AVEK represents one of your sources of water, we have included a summary of results for all analyses completed in 2016 for your convenience. If you find that you need copies of individual monitoring reports please feel free to contact me and I will be happy to provide those for you.

In accordance with the Consumer Confidence Report (CCR) guidance manuals issued by the State Water Resources Control Board and the United States Environmental Protection Agency, we are herein providing you with the monitoring data and other information you will need to produce your CCR.

AVEK provides some treated water to our customers in Acton by way of an intertie with Palmdale Water District (PWD). AVEK monitors the treated water quality provided by PWD at our Acton Water Treatment Plant before it reaches our first customer. The results of this monitoring have been included in this report. If you have specific questions regarding the quality of the raw water treated by Palmdale Water District, please contact them directly.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please call me at 661-943-3201. However, please do not designate AVEK or this office as your contact in your CCR. According to the State Board and EPA guidelines, the designated contact person should be someone from your system. While we are always happy to clarify questions about AVEK water, we do not have the specific information necessary to answer questions about your water, blending practices or distribution systems.

Respectfully,

Justin Livesay **Laboratory Director**

6500 WEST AVENUE N • PALMDALE, CALIFORNIA 93551 (661) 943-3201 • www.avek.org • info@avek.org

President SHELLEY SORSABAL Division 1 Vice President

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

KEITH DYAS

Division 2

FRANK S. DONATO Division 3

JUSTIN G. LANE Division 4

ROBERT A PARRIS Division 5

MARLON BARNES Division 6

GARY VAN DAM Division 7

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency

2016 Annual Water Quality Report

We are very pleased to provide you with this year's Annual Water Quality Report. We want to keep you informed about the excellent water we have delivered to you over the past year. Our goal is, and always has been, to provide to you a safe supply of drinking water.

Our main water source is the State Water Project, California Aqueduct. The State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) has assessed the vulnerability of the State Water Project as to possible contaminating activities. The assessment's description and discussion of vulnerability is as follows:

"The California Aqueduct originates at the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta at Clifton Court Forebay. Water in the Delta originates in the Sacramento River watershed, the San Joaquin watershed, and the watershed drainage from the Mokelumne River, Stanislaus River, Merced River and several smaller rivers that drain the eastern slopes of the Sierra Nevadas. Located in these drainage areas are a broad variety of potential sources of contamination including municipal, industrial and agricultural activities. Also influencing the quality of water pumped from the Delta is the impact of the estuarial nature of the Delta and the naturally occurring salt-water intrusion which is dependent to a large extent on the inflow from the contributing rivers.

The possible contaminating activities present within the California Aqueduct watershed are described in the State Water Project Watershed Sanitary Survey conducted by the California Department of Water Resources and their consultants in 1986 and updated in 2011."

Our alternative water source is State Water Project water which has been stored in the aquifer at various underground storage facilities (i.e. "water banks") and is extracted as local groundwater for water quality purposes or supply purposes during times of drought. The vulnerability of the facilities was assessed in 2014 as follows:

"The wells are most vulnerable to contaminants from activities such as herbicide use along transportation corridors or road right-of-ways; agricultural/irrigation wells; irrigated crops; application of fertilizer, pesticides, and herbicides; agricultural drainage; and the raw State Water Project surface water used to recharge the groundwater basins. Other potential contaminating activities include the potential presence of certain unknown activities such as unregistered underground storage tanks."

A copy of these assessments may be viewed at, Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency, 6500 West Avenue N, Palmdale, CA 93551.

If you have any questions about this report or the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency, please contact Justin Livesay, Laboratory Director at 661-943-3201. We want our valued customers to be informed about our Water Agency. If you want to learn more, please attend any of our regularly scheduled Board meetings. They are held on the second and fourth Tuesday of every month, 6:30 PM, at the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency Office, 6500 West Avenue N, Palmdale, CA, 93551.

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency routinely monitors for contaminants in our drinking water according to Federal and State laws. The table in this report, "2016 Annual Water Quality Report", shows the results of our monitoring for the period of January 1st to December 31st, 2016.

All drinking water, including bottled drinking water, may be reasonably expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. It is important to remember that the presence of these contaminants does not necessarily pose a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the Environmental Protection Agency's Safe Drinking Water Hotline at 1-800-426-4791.

We have learned through our monitoring and testing that some contaminants have been detected, however, we are proud to report that our drinking water meets or exceeds all State and Federal requirements.

Total Coliform: Water systems are required to meet a strict standard for coliform bacteria. Coliform bacteria are usually harmless, but their presence in water can be an indication of disease-causing bacteria. When coliform bacteria are found, special follow-up tests are done to determine if harmful bacteria are present in the water supply. If the standard is exceeded, the water supplier must notify the public by newspaper, television or radio.

Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. EPA/CDC guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by *Cryptosporidium* and other microbiological contaminants are available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline (800-426-4791).

The Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency provides treated surface water as a source of drinking water.

Treatment technique: Conventional

EPA Turbidity Performance Standards: Turbidity of the filtered water must:

1. Be less than or equal to 0.30 NTU in 95% of measurements in a month.

2. Not exceed 1 NTU at any time.

Lowest monthly percentage of samples that met Turbidity Performance Standard No. 1: 100%

Highest single turbidity measurement during the year: 0.18 NTU

Percentage of samples < 0.30 NTU: 100%

The number of violations of any surface water treatment requirements: **NONE**

Turbidity (measured in NTU) is a measurement of the cloudiness of water and is a good indicator of water quality and filtration performance. Turbidity results which meet performance standards are considered to be in compliance with

filtration requirements.

The Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency also provides groundwater as a source of drinking water.

Treatment technique: Chlorination

EPA Groundwater Rule: AVEK meets the requirements of the Groundwater Rule by providing a minimum of 4-log reduction of viruses by continously providing a minimum free chlorine residual of 0.5 mg/L leaving the clearwell.

Lowest single free chlorine residual measurement during the year:

Number of violations of the Groundwater Rule:

MICROBIOLOGICAL CONTAIN	/INANTS
-------------------------	---------

Type of Sample(a)	Doromotor	Compling Fraguency	MCI	No. of Months in		Results
Type of Sample(s)	<u>Parameter</u>	Sampling Frequency	<u>MCL</u>	Violation	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>
Distribution	Total Coliform Bacteria	116 - 155 / mo	5% positive	None	0%	0%
Distribution	Fecal Coliform/E. coli	116 - 155 / mo	1 pos. with 2 TC pos.	None	0%	0%
Raw Influent	Cryptosporidium	6 / mo	N/A*	N/A*	0 oocysts/L	0 oocysts/L

0.75

INORGANIC CONTAMINANTS

INORGANIC CONTAMINANTS																
										RE:	<u>SULTS</u>					
					Acton	Acton Plant Eastside Plant Quartz Hill Plant Raw Influent			fluent		Wate	er Bank				
				PHG or	Effluent (CWR) Effluent (CWR)		Effluent	t (CWR)	(State Wate	er Project)	Effluent (CWR)		We	lls		
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>MCL</u>	<u>DLR</u>	(MCLG)	<u>Range</u>	Average	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	Range	<u>Average</u>	Range	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>
Aluminum	mg/L	1	0.05	0.6		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_	0.046		_	ND	ND
Antimony	μg/L	6	6	1		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Arsenic	μg/L	10	2	0.004		ND		ND		ND		2.5	ND-6.3	4.7	ND-9.7	3.9
Barium	mg/L	1	0.1	2		0.035		0.026		0.026		0.032			ND-0.095	0.035
Beryllium	μg/L	4	1	1		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Cadmium	μg/L	5	1	0.04		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Chromium (Total)	μg/L	50	10			ND		ND		ND		ND			ND-4.9	2.9
Chromium (Hexavalent)	μg/L	10	1	0.02		0.17		0.13		0.11		0.14			1.9-5.4	3.4
Cyanide	μg/L	150	100	150		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Fluoride	mg/L	2	0.1	1		0.13		0.078		0.080		0.10			0.11-0.36	0.22
Mercury	μg/L	2	1	1.2		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Nickel	μg/L	100	10	12		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND-7.2	2.6
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L	10	0.4	10		ND		ND		ND	ND-0.42	0.24			2.8-5.2	3.8
Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	1	0.4	1		ND		ND		ND						
Nitrate+Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	10		10		ND		ND		ND						
Perchlorate	μg/L	6	4	1		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Selenium	μg/L	50	5	30		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Thallium	μg/L	2	1	0.1		ND		ND		ND		ND			ND	ND
Asbestos	MFL	7	0.2	7											ND	ND

GENERAL PHYSICAL AND SECONDARY STANDARDS

		<u>RESULTS</u>											
				Actor	Acton Plant Eastside Plant Quartz Hill Plant						fluent	Wate	r Bank
				Effluent	Effluent (CWR)		Effluent (CWR)		t (CWR)	(State Water Project)		Wells	
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>MCL</u>	<u>DLR</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	Range	<u>Average</u>
Aluminum	μg/L	200	50		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		46	ND	ND
Calcium	mg/L	no standard			28		18		18		18	32-87	57
Chloride	mg/L	250			130		130		130		120	9.1-99	53

^{*}Cryptosporidium monitoring is performed at our Acton, Eastside, and Quartz Hill treatment plant influent in accordance with the EPA's LT2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule. This monitoring aims to assess the risk of cryptosporidium in our raw water supply and determine if additional treatment will be necessary.

				Acton	Plant	Eastsid	e Plant	Quartz F	lill Plant	Raw Inf	luent	Water	Bank	İ
				Effluent	(CWR)	Effluent	(CWR)	Effluent	(CWR)	(State Wate	r Project)	We	ells	İ
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>MCL</u>	<u>DLR</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	İ								
Color	Units	15		<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5		<5	<5-10	<5	İ
Copper	μg/L	1000	50		ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND	İ
Foaming Agents (MBAS)	mg/L	0.5			ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND	İ
Hardness (Total) as CaCO3	mg/L	no standard			120		100		110		100	87-270	167	İ
Iron	μg/L	300	100		ND		ND		ND		77	ND-42	17	İ
Magnesium	mg/L	no standard			13		14		15		14	1.7-14	7.0	İ
Manganese	μg/L	50	20		ND		ND		ND		12	ND	ND	İ
Odor @ 60 C	Units	3	1	<1-1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1		<1		ļ	İ
рН	Units	no standard		6.5-8.1	7.00	6.6-7.3	6.86	6.7-7.5	7.11	8.0-9.3	8.69	7.3-8.3	7.68	İ
Silver	μg/L	100	10		ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND	İ
Sodium	mg/L	no standard			76		79		81		76	33-64	47	İ
Specific Conductance	μmhos	900		634-650	642	626-630	628	317-688	530	303-659	511	330-1039	629	İ
Sulfate	mg/L	250	0.5		54		54		54		36	45-62	54	İ
Thiobencarb (Bolero)	μg/L	1	1		ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND	İ
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	μg/L	5	3		ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND	İ
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	500			370		340		350		350	220-490	344	İ
Turbidity	Units	5		0.02-1.17	0.13	0.02-0.11	0.03	0.04-0.18	0.07	0.32-22.3	2.85	0.02-22.3	0.27	İ
Zinc	mg/L	5.0	0.050		0.660		0.470		0.490		ND	ND	ND	İ
Total Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	mg/L	no standard			62		51		52	53-111	77	95-170	127	İ
Bicarbonate Alkalinity(as HCO3)	mg/L	no standard			76		62		64		86	120-210	156	ĺ
Carbonate (as CO3)	mg/L	no standard			ND		ND		ND		4.4	ND	ND	ĺ
Hydroxide (as OH)	mg/L	no standard			ND		ND		ND		ND	ND	ND	

PADIOI	OCICAL	CONTAMINANT	C
RAIMU	C Mail Al	L.C.IN LAIVIINAIN I	. >

					10.0510200107120011171111111111111111110					
						<u>RESULTS</u>				
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>MCL</u>	<u>DLR</u>	<u>PHG</u>		Raw Influent (State Water Project)	Water I Wel			
Gross Alpha	pCi/L	15	3			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ND-6.3	2.6		
Gross Beta	pCi/L	50	4			1	ND	ND		
Strontium 90	pCi/L	8	2	0.35		1	ND	ND		
Tritium	pCi/L	20,000	1,000	400		1	ND	ND		
Uranium	pCi/L	20	1	0.43		ND	ND-5.4	2.5		
Radium 228	pCi/L		1	0.019		1	ND	ND		
Radium 226	pCi/L		1	0.05		1	ND	ND		
	P - " -		•			1	1			

VOLATILE ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS

					<u> </u>	<u>RESULTS</u>				
Parameter	<u>Units</u>	MCL	DLR	<u>PHG</u>	State Water Proj	ect	Water Ba	ank Wells		
<u>r arameter</u>	Office					<u>erage</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>		
1,1,1-Trichlorethane (1,1,1-TCA)	μg/L	200	0.5	1000		ND	ND	ND		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	μg/L	1	0.5	0.1		ND	ND	ND		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane (1,1,2-TCA)	μg/L	5	0.5	0.3		ND	ND	ND		
1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	μg/L	5	0.5	3		ND	ND	ND		
1,1-Dichloroethylene (1,1-DCE)	μg/L	6	0.5	10		ND	ND	ND		
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	μg/L	5	0.5	5		ND	ND	ND		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene (o-DCB)	μg/L	600	0.5	600		ND	ND	ND		
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.4		ND	ND	ND		
1,2-Dichloropropane	μg/L	5	0.5	0.5		ND	ND	ND		
1,3-Dichloropropene (Total)	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.2		ND	ND	ND		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene (p-DCB)	μg/L	5	0.5	6		ND	ND	ND		
Benzene	μg/L	1	0.5	0.15		ND	ND	ND		
Carbon tetrachloride	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.1		ND	ND	ND		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene (c-1,2-DCE)	μg/L	6	0.5	100		ND	ND	ND		
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	μg/L					ND	ND	ND		
Dichloromethane (Methylene Chloride)	μg/L	5	0.5	4		ND	ND	ND		
Ethylbenzene	μg/L	300	0.5	300		ND	ND	ND		
Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	μg/L	13	3	13		ND	ND	ND		
Monochlorobenzene (Chlorobenzene)	μg/L	70	0.5	70		ND	ND	ND		
Styrene	μg/L	100	0.5	0.5		ND	ND	ND		

Parameter	Linite	MCI	DI D	DHC
<u>neter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>MCL</u>	<u>DLR</u>	<u>PHG</u>
achloroethylene (PCE)	μg/L	5	0.5	0.06
oluene	μg/L	150	0.5	150
ns-1,2-Dichloroethylene (t-1,2-DCE)	μg/L	10	0.5	60
ans-1,3-Dichloropropene	μg/L			
richloroethylene (TCE)	μg/L	5	0.5	1.7
richlorofluromethane (Freon11)	μg/L	150	5	1300
richlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113)	μg/L	1200	10	4000
Vinyl Chloride (VC)	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.05
Kylenes (Total)	μg/L	1750	0.5	1800

CVALTUE					$\overline{}$
SYNTHE	116,67	RUAIN	ル・レコト	· IVIICAL :	<u></u>

Parameter						STATTLE TIC ORGANIC CHEWICALS					
Parameter								RESUL1	<u>'S</u>		
Alachlor	Parameter	Unite	MCI	DLP (DL)	DHC		State Wate	er Project	Water B	ank Wells	
Arrazine	<u>r arameter</u>	Offics	IVICL	DEIX (DE)	<u> </u>		<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>	
Bentazon	Alachlor		2	1	4		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Bentazon	Atrazine	μg/L	1	0.5	0.15		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Benzo(a)pyrene	Bentazon	μg/L	18	2	200		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Carbofuran µg/L 18 5 1.7 Chlordane µg/L 0.1 0.1 0.03 ND N	Benzo(a)pyrene	μg/L	0.2	0.1	0.007		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Chlordane	Carbofuran	μg/L	18	5	1.7			ND			
Dalapon		μg/L	0.1	0.1	0.03		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Dalapon	2,4-D		70	10	20		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Dibromochloropropane (DBCP)	Dalapon	μg/L	200	10	790		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate µg/L 4 3 12 Dinoseb µg/L 7 2 14 ND	Dibromochloropropane (DBCP)	μg/L	0.2	0.01	0.0017		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate µg/L 4 3 12 Dinoseb µg/L 7 2 14 ND	Di(2-ethylhexyl)adipate	μg/L	400	5	200		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Diquat μg/L 20 4 15 ND	Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate		4	3	12		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Diquat μg/L 20 4 15 ND	Dinoseb	μg/L	7	2	14		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Endrin μg/L 2 0.1 1.8 ND	Diquat		20	4	15		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Endrin μg/L 2 0.1 1.8 ND	Endothall	μg/L	100	45	94		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Ethylene Dibromide (EDB) µg/L 0.05 0.02 0.01 Glyphosate µg/L 700 25 900 ND	Endrin	μg/L	2	0.1	1.8		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Heptachlor μg/L 0.01 0.01 0.008 ND <td>Ethylene Dibromide (EDB)</td> <td></td> <td>0.05</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.01</td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td></td>	Ethylene Dibromide (EDB)		0.05	0.02	0.01		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Heptachlor μg/L 0.01 0.01 0.008 ND <td>Glyphosate</td> <td>μg/L</td> <td>700</td> <td>25</td> <td>900</td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td></td>	Glyphosate	μg/L	700	25	900		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Hexachlorobenzene μg/L 1 0.5 0.03 ND<	Heptachlor		0.01	0.01	0.008			ND	ND		
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene μg/L 50 1 2 Lindane μg/L 0.2 0.2 0.032 Methoxychlor μg/L 30 10 0.09 Molinate μg/L 20 2 1 Oxamyl μg/L 50 20 26 Pentachlorophenol μg/L 1 0.2 0.3 Picloram μg/L 500 1 500 Polychlorinated Biphenyls μg/L 0.5 0.5 0.09 Simazine μg/L 4 1 4 Thiobencarb (Bolero) μg/L 70 1 70 Toxaphene μg/L 3 1 0.03 2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin) pg/L 30 5 0.05	Heptachlor Epoxide	μg/L	0.01	0.01	0.006		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Lindane μg/L 0.2 0.2 0.032 Methoxychlor μg/L 30 10 0.09 Molinate μg/L 20 2 1 ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND Pentachlorophenol μg/L 1 0.2 0.3 ND <	Hexachlorobenzene	μg/L	1	0.5							
Methoxychlor μg/L 30 10 0.09 Molinate μg/L 20 2 1 Oxamyl μg/L 50 20 26 Pentachlorophenol μg/L 1 0.2 0.3 Picloram μg/L 500 1 500 Polychlorinated Biphenyls μg/L 0.5 0.5 0.09 Simazine μg/L 4 1 4 Thiobencarb (Bolero) μg/L 70 1 70 Toxaphene μg/L 3 1 0.03 2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin) pg/L 30 5 0.05	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	μg/L						ND			
Molinate μg/L 20 2 1 Oxamyl μg/L 50 20 26 Pentachlorophenol μg/L 1 0.2 0.3 Picloram μg/L 500 1 500 Polychlorinated Biphenyls μg/L 0.5 0.5 0.09 Simazine μg/L 4 1 4 Thiobencarb (Bolero) μg/L 70 1 70 Toxaphene μg/L 3 1 0.03 2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin) pg/L 30 5 0.05	Lindane	μg/L	0.2	0.2	0.032			ND			
Oxamyl μg/L 50 20 26 Pentachlorophenol μg/L 1 0.2 0.3 Picloram μg/L 500 1 500 Polychlorinated Biphenyls μg/L 0.5 0.5 0.09 Simazine μg/L 4 1 4 Thiobencarb (Bolero) μg/L 70 1 70 Toxaphene μg/L 3 1 0.03 2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin) pg/L 30 5 0.05	Methoxychlor	μg/L	30		0.09						
Pentachlorophenol μg/L 1 0.2 0.3 ND </td <td>Molinate</td> <td></td> <td>20</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td>ND</td> <td></td>	Molinate		20	2	1		ND	ND	ND	ND	
Picloram μg/L 500 1 500 ND		μg/L	50								
Polychlorinated Biphenyls μg/L 0.5 0.5 0.09 Simazine μg/L 4 1 4 Thiobencarb (Bolero) μg/L 70 1 70 Toxaphene μg/L 3 1 0.03 2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin) pg/L 30 5 0.05	Pentachlorophenol	μg/L		0.2							
Simazine μg/L 4 1 4 Thiobencarb (Bolero) μg/L 70 1 70 Toxaphene μg/L 3 1 0.03 2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin) pg/L 30 5 0.05	Picloram		500	1	500			ND			
Simazine μg/L 4 1 4 Thiobencarb (Bolero) μg/L 70 1 70 Toxaphene μg/L 3 1 0.03 2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin) pg/L 30 5 0.05	Polychlorinated Biphenyls	μg/L	0.5	0.5	0.09						
Thiobencarb (Bolero) μg/L 70 1 70 Toxaphene μg/L 3 1 0.03 2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin) μg/L 30 5 0.05 ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND N	Simazine	μg/L	4	1	4			ND			
2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin) pg/L 30 5 0.05 ND ND ND ND	,			1							
		μg/L		1							
2.4.5 TD (Cilvov)	,	pg/L		5							
2,4,5-1P (Silvex) μg/L 50 1 3	2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	μg/L	50	1	3		ND	ND	ND	ND	L

DISINFECTION RESIDUAL, PRECURSORS, and BYPRODUCTS

Type of Sample(s)	Parameter	<u>Units</u>	MCL/MRDL	<u>DLR</u>	MRDLG	RESU	<u>LTS</u>
Type of <u>oampie(s)</u>	<u>i didifictor</u>	OTIILS	WOE/WINDE	DLIX	WINDLO	<u>Range</u>	<u>Average</u>
Distribution	Chlorine (as total Cl2)	mg/L	4.0		4	ND-1.73	1.03
Treated Water	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/L	Treatment Requirement	0.3		0.6 - 3.2	1.9
State Water Project	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/L	Treatment Requirement	0.3		0.9 - 5.3	3.4
Distribution	Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Total Trihalomethanes	μg/L	80**			8.7 - 85	60 #
Distribution	Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Total Haloacetic Acids	μg/L	60**			ND - 17	12 #
Treated Water	Bromate	μg/L	10 ⁺	5		ND - 10	3.7

^{**} Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Total THMs and Total HAAs compliance is based upon Locational Running Annual Averages. # Location with the highest TTHM average

⁺ Compliance is based on the running annual average computed quarterly, of monthly samples, collected at the entrance to the distribution system.

DEFINITIONS and FOOTNOTES:

Plant Effluent, CWR, is finished, treated drinking water.

Raw Water is the Source Water, the California Aqueduct, prior to treatment.

Units: mg/L = milligrams per liter, parts per million (ppm)

μg/L = micrograms per liter, parts per billion (ppb)

pg/L = picograms per liter, parts per quadrillion (ppq)

µmhos = micromhos, a measure of specific conductance

MFL = million fibers per liter

pCi/L = pico Curies per liter

< = less than

> = greater than

ND = none detected above the DLR

NTU = nephelometric turbidity unit is a measure of the clarity of water. Turbidity in excess of 5 NTU is just noticeable to the average person.

MCL: Maximum Contaminant Level. The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. MCLs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency or the State Water Resources Control Board as close to the PHGs and MCLGs as is economically or technologically feasible.

MRDL: Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level. The level of a disinfectant added for water treatment that may not be exceeded at the consumer's tap.

DLR: Detection Limit for purposes of Reporting.

(DL): Detection limit determined by the Laboratory when no DLR has been established.

MCLG: The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

MRDLG: Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level Goal. The level of a disinfectant added for water treatment below which there is no known or expected risk to health.

MRDLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

PHG: Public Health Goal: The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. PHGs are set by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment.

Primary Drinking Water Standard: Primary MCLs, specific treatment techniques adopted in lieu of primary MCLs, and monitoring and reporting requirements for MCLs that are specified in regulations.

Secondary Standards: Aesthetic standards established by the State Water Resources Control Board.

AL: Action Level. There is no MCL, if this level is exceeded, action is required by the State Water Resources Control Board.

All analyses performed by ELAP certified laboratories: AVEK Water Agency, Eurofins Eaton Analytical Laboratories, or Eurofins subcontract lab.

STAGE 2 DISINFECTION BYPRODUCT RULE TOTAL TRIHALOMETHANE (TTHM) QUARTERLY SUMMARY REPORT

Water S	ystem	Name:
---------	-------	-------

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency

System No.

1910045

				TTHM (p	pb)			
		Monitori	ng Periods			A-A-03 7-00		
	MP1	MP2	МРЗ	MP4 (Current Qtr)	LRAA (TTHM)	Meets Standard? (Y/N)	OEL (TTHM)	Exceed OEL (Y/N)
Sample Date (month/date/year):	02/18/16	05/26/16	08/18/16	11/17/16		(1,7.1.7)		(.,,
Vincent Tank	8.7	85	62	49	51.18	Υ	61.25	N
LVAV	37	81	44	78	60.00	Υ	70.25	N
110th/R	12	62	24	41	34.75	Υ	42.00	N
165th	14	84	37	55	47.50	Υ	57.75	N
5th/M	23	77	24	48	43.00	Υ	49.25	N

E /W a /ME//V		
Comments:		

Note: If your OEL is higher than the TTHM MCL at any location in the distribution system, you must conduct an operational evaluation by examining the system treatment and distribution operational practices, including: storage tank operations; excess storage capacity; distribution system flushing; changes in sources or source water quality; treatment changes; and any problems that may contribute to TTHM formation. From this evaluation you must identify what steps could be taken to minimize future OEL exceedances: Please submit your operational evaluation report to the State for review within 90 days.

Name & Title of Person Submitting Report

Justin Livesay - Laboratory Director



Date

12/19/2016

STAGE 2 DISINFECTION BYPRODUCT RULE HALOACETIC ACIDS (HAA5) QUARTERLY SUMMARY REPORT

WW7 4	0 4	Th. T
water	System	Name:

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency

System No.

1910045

				HAA5 (ppb)						
		Monitoria	ng Periods								
	MP1	MP2	МРЗ	MP4 (Current Qtr)	LRAA (HAA5)	Meets Standard? (Y/N)	OEL (HAA5)	Exceed OEL (Y/N)			
Sample Date (month/date/year):	02/18/16	05/26/16	08/18/16	11/17/16		4.7.07		(.,,.,,			
Vincent Tank	ND	12	6.3	5.0	5.83	ΥΥ	4.08	N			
LVAV	10	16	15	8.9	12.48	Υ	12.20	N			
110th/R	2.3	14	4.5	7.3	7.03	Υ	8.28	N			
165th	2.5	17	6.1	14	9.90	Υ	12.78	N			
5th/M	4.8	16	4.5	7.7	8.25	Υ	8.98	N			
S											

		90.1		
Comments:			0.000	2000 m
Comments.				

Note: If your OEL is higher than the HAA5 MCL at any location in the distribution system, you must conduct an operational evaluation by examining the system treatment and distribution operational practices, including: storage tank operations; excess storage capacity; distribution system flushing; changes in sources or source water quality; treatment changes; and any problems that may contribute to HAAS formation. From this evaluation you must identify what steps could be taken to minimize future OEL exceedances: Please submit your operational evaluation report to the State for review within 90 days.

Name & Title of Person Submitting Report

Justin Livesay - Laboratory Director

Dote

12/19/2016

Quarterly Bromate Report for Disinfection Byproducts Compliance (in µg/L or ppb)

		20	15			1s	t Qtr.			2n	d Qtr.			3rc	d Qtr.			4tl	ı Qtr.	
Sample Date (month/date):	1st Q	2nd Q	3rd Q	4th Q	1/13	2/10	3/9	Quarterly Average	4/13	5/11	6/8	Quarterly Average	7/13	8/10	9/14	Quarterly Average	10/12	11/7	12/14	Quarterly Average
Site 1 - QHWTP	0.0	7.2	7.0	4.4	ND	OFF	OFF	0.0	6.9	6.3	6.2	6.5	3.7	ND	3.4	2.4	7.6	3.8	ND	3.8
Site 2 - EWTP	0.0	4.6	8.1	7.4	OFF	5.5	3.2	2.9	3.5	5.6	10	6.4	6.1	4.0	4.3	4.8	7.9	ND	ND	2.6
Site 3 - AWTP	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF		OFF	OFF										
System Quarterly Average	0.0	5.9	7.6	5.9				1.5	14 = 14	4-13	15-1	6.4				3.6				3.2
Running Annual Average		- 0		4.8				5.2				5.3				4.3				3.7

Identify the sample locations in the table below.

Site	Sample Location
1	Quartz Hill Clear Well Reservoir
2	Eastside Clear Well Reservoir
3	Acton Clear Well Reservoir

Comments: Samples collected at the entry point to the distribution system for each treatment plant using ozone. "OFF" denotes treatment plant shutdown or ozone system shutdown.

Eastside WTP ozone off from 12/22/15 through 01/27/16; Quartz Hill ozone off from 11/24/15 through 03/17/16.

Signature

Date

^{*}If, during the first year of monitoring, any individual quarter's average will cause the running annual average of that system to exceed the standard, then the system is out of compliance at the end of that quarter.

Quarterly Report for Disinfectant Residuals Compliance For Systems Using Chlorine or Chloramines

System Name:	Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency	System No.:	1910045
Calendar Year:	2016	Quarter:	4th

Г	1140	1st Quarter	
	Month	Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)
Г	April		1.07
ı	May		0.99
	June		0.95
ğ	July		1.07
Previous Year	August		1.02
Pre	September		1.10
	October		0.92
	November		0.96
	December		1.14
혛	January	116	1.07
Current Year	February	116	1.13
ā	March	146	1.00
R	unning Annual	Average (RAA):	1.04
100.0	eets standard? e. RAA < MRDL (YES	

		2nd Quarter	7K *
	Month	Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)
	July		1.07
ją.	August		1.02
M Ye	September		1.10
Previous Year	October		0.92
P	November		0.96
	December		1.14
	January		1.07
ä	February		1.13
I Ye	March		1.00
Current Year	April	118	1.03
Ö	May	153	0.93
	June	120	1.03
R	unning Annual A	verage (RAA):	1.03
	eets standard? e. RAA < MRDL o	YES	

	3 73	3rd Quarter	
	Month	Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)
۶	October		0.92
Non	November	1 1 1	0.96
Ē	December		1.14
Γ	January		1.07
ı	February		1.13
ı	March		1.00
100	April		1.03
V See Section 1	May		0.93
ð	June		1.03
ı	July	120	1.18
l	August	155	1.11
	September	124	0.98
R	unning Annual	Average (RAA):	1.04
	eets standard? e. RAA < MRDL o	YES	

4th Quarter					
	Month	Number of Samples Taken	Monthly Ave. Chlorine Level (mg/L)		
	January		1.07		
	February		1.13		
	March		1.00		
	April		1.03		
	May		0.93		
Current Year	June		1.03		
uns	July		1.18		
3	August	H 11	1.11		
	September		0.98		
Н	October	124	0.99		
	November	155	0.95		
	December	124	1.01		
Running Annual Average (RAA):		1.03			
	eets standard? e. RAA < MRDL o	of 4.0 mg/L as Cl2)	YES		

Date: 1/3/2017

Comments:	(0.	
	. 0	
		- 10

1/9/02

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency

LA System No. 1910045 TOC Removal Running Annual Average

Sample	ъ.	Alkalinity	Raw TOC	Treated TOC	Actual %	Required %	"TOC Removal Ratio
Date	Plant	mgCaCO3/L	mg/L	mg/L	TOC reduction	TOC reduction	actual % /required %
1/13/2016	QHWTP	76.0	1.51	0.87	42.4	25	1.7
"	EWTP	plant off					
"	AWTP	plant off					
2/10/2016	QHWTP	111	0.87	0.62	28.7	25	1.1
"	EWTP	90.6	1.45	0.93	35.9	25	1.4
II	AWTP	plant off		0.00	00.0	_0	
0/0/0040	OLIMTD	02.4	0.70	4.00	20.0	0.5	4.0
3/9/2016	QHWTP	93.4	2.70	1.90	29.6	25	1.2
	EWTP	92.4	3.35	2.07	38.2	25	1.5
"	AWTP	plant off					
4/13/2016	QHWTP	68.6	4.68	2.52	46.2	35	1.3
II .	EWTP	74.2	5.33	2.57	51.8	35	1.5
II	AWTP	plant off					
5/11/2016	QHWTP	90.4	4.57	2.91	36.3	35	1.0
3/11/2010	EWTP	90. 4 91.4	4.81	3.16	34.3	35	1.0
"			4.01	3.10	34.3	33	1.0
	AWTP	plant off					
6/8/2016	QHWTP	93.3	4.17	2.65	36.5	35	1.0
II .	EWTP	91.9	4.42	3.04	31.2	35	0.9
II	AWTP	plant off					
7/13/2016	QHWTP	76.7	3.72	2.05	44.9	25	1.8
"	EWTP	71.9	3.87	2.32	40.1	25	1.6
"	AWTP	plant off	5.07	2.02	40.1	20	1.0
	AWII	plant on					
8/10/2016	QHWTP	57.8	3.61	1.51	58.2	35	1.7
"	EWTP	58.0	4.06	1.88	53.7	45	1.2
II	AWTP	plant off					
9/14/2016	QHWTP	55.7	2.99	1.22	59.2	35	1.7
"	EWTP	53.2	3.00	1.59	47.0	35	1.3
II .	AWTP	plant off	0.00	1.00	47.0	33	1.5
40/40/20:5			0.00	4.46	=4.6	0=	•
10/12/2016	QHWTP	69.5	2.89	1.40	51.6	25	2.1
	EWTP	69.4	3.01	1.56	48.2	25	1.9
"	AWTP	plant off					
11/7/2016	QHWTP	73.3	3.34	1.76	47.3	25	1.9
II .	EWTP	72.0	3.59	1.80	49.9	25	2.0
II	AWTP	plant off					
12/14/2016	QHWTP	65.9	2.78	1.54	44.6	25	1.8
"	EWTP	65.9 65.0	2.78	1.74	39.6	25 25	1.6
II .			2.00	1.74	39.0	20	1.0
	AWTP	plant off					
	Minimum	53.2	0.9	0.6	28.7		
	Maximum	111.0	5.3	3.2	59.2		
	RAA	76.6	3.4	1.9	43.3		

Running Annual Average (RAA) 1.5

Title 22 California Code of Regulations, Chapter 15.5, Article 5:

Required percent TOC reduction**

 Table 64536.2-A
 Source Water Alkalinity

Raw TOC	0-60	<60 - 120	>120
>2.0 - 4.0	35.0 %	25.0 %	15.0 %
>4.0 - 8.0	45.0 %	35.0 %	25.0 %
>8.0	50.0 %	40.0 %	30.0 %

^{**}If one or more of the section 64636.4(b) 1-6 conditions are met, the system may assign a monthly value of 1 for the TOC removal ratio in lieu of the calculated value.

List condition when used:

^{1.} The system's source water TOC level, prior to any treatment is less than or equal to 2.0 mg/L

^{2.} The system's treated water TOC level is less than or equal to 2.0 mg/L $\,$

^{3.} The system's source water SUVA, prior to any treatment, is less than or equal to 2.0 L/mg-m

^{4.} The system's finished water SUVA is less than or equal to 2.0 L/mg-m

^{5.} A system practicing softening removes at least 10 mg/L of magnesium hardness (as CaCO3) $\,$

^{6.} A system practicing enhanced softening lowers alkalinity below 60 mg/L (as CaCO3)